

[1] From: BARBARA J VERSTEEGH 5/27/94 9:28AM (1850 bytes: 1 ln)  
To: RONALD J KREBS, G PHILIP CUNNINGHAM, STEVEN M CHEVALIER, LANCE A COLES  
Subject: Re[2]: schedule changes

----- Forwarded -----  
From: CLAYTON CROSBY 5/27/94 7:51AM (1618 bytes: 1 ln)  
To: BARBARA J VERSTEEGH  
Subject: Re[2]: schedule changes  
----- Message Contents -----

THAT'S THE WAY WE AGREED. THANKS.

Reply Separator

Subject: Re: schedule changes  
Author: BARBARA J VERSTEEGH  
Date: 5/27/94 7:41 AM

I am in agreement with your position on assigning an employee on a change of schedule to the corresponding section, i.e. Tour 1 Incoming to Tour 2 Incoming, etc. with the exceptions to this being limitations, restrictions or excessing. This assignment would be for overtime, holidays, and leave scheduling in accordance with the local agreement. It is my understanding that your discussion with Pam included assignment if an employee fell under the noted exceptions. If an employee had city schemes they would fall under incoming and if they had an Iowa scheme, or no scheme, they would fall under outgoing. This in no way would preclude you from working them in Transit Iowa if needed, but would define what their section would be for purposes of annual leave, holidays, and overtime. If this is what you felt was the agreement, please let me know. If you have any problems with this please call Pam or me.